Impact of Pupil	• EYFS		
Premium Funding for	 ETFS In 2016, 25 of the 31 pupils achieved 'Good Level of Development' (GLD) (80.6%) – higher 		
the year 2015 - 2016	than the Local Authority and National averages.		
	Overall, 66.7% of Pupil Premium (PP) pupils achieved GLD (2 of 3 pupils), whereas 82.1% o		
	 Non-PP pupils did (23 of 28 pupils). Difference: -15.4% Differences between the two groups were mainly in the Literacy and Communication strands. KS1 Reading 43.3% (PP: 42.9% Non-PP: 43.5%) Difference: -0.6% Writing 40.0% (PP: 42.9% Non-PP: 39.1%) Difference: +3.8% 		
	Maths 30.0% (PP: 14.3% Non-PP: 34.8%) Difference: -20.5%		
	RWM 30.0% (PP: 14.3% Non-PP: 34.8%) Difference: -20.5%		
	Differences between PP and Non-PP pupils was negligible in most areas, except Maths.		
	 When errors on the papers were analysed, these pupils struggled more than the others to show working out and in completing the test on time. KS2 Reading 67.9% (PP: 80% Non-PP: 65.2%) Difference: +14.8% 		
	Writing 75.0% (PP: 80% Non-PP: 73.9%) Difference: +6.1%		
	Maths 71.4% (PP: 60% Non-PP: 73.9%) Difference: -13.9%		
	RWM 60.7% (PP: 80% Non-PP: 60.9%) Difference: +19.1%		
	PP pupils out-performed and Non-PP pupils in most areas, except Maths. The PP pupils who		
Pupil Premium	did not attain age-expected were previously identified as having issues in this subject.		
Funding for the year	For the financial year, 2016 – 2017, Lenham Primary School will receive:		
2016 – 2017	£43,560		
	Based on 33 pupils at the school's January 2016 census		
Focus for Pupil Premium Funding, for	Target: EYFS – Literacy & Communication Strands Target: KC1 – Mathew Working towards for using an appending work, without using		
the year 2016 – 2017	 Target: KS1 – Maths: Working towards focusing on recording work, without using manipulatives and working towards'timed' work 		
	 manipulatives and working towards'timed' work. Target KS2 – Maths: Ratio & Proportion (RAISE data) Individual-led, focused support To test and track the data termly (through analysis & Pupil Progress Meetings), which 		
	from April to July 2016, showed evidence that the gaps between PP pupils and Non-PP		
	pupils close as they progress through Lenham Primary School.		
Strategy for Pupil	 The major focus will be towards EYFS and Key Stage 1 PP pupils, in order to diminish 		
Premium Funding, for	gaps between PP and non-PP pupils as soon as possible.		
the year 2016 – 2017			
	• Termly spreadsheets of spending on PP pupils will be created, tracked and shared with		
	teaching staff.		
	• PP pupils' attainment and progress will be discussed at the termly PPMs. Suggestions		
	on how to improve the pupils' performance will be taken, costed and sourced. The		
	impact of anything put in place will be discussed at the following PPM.		
Fixed costs for Pupil	• HLTA (0.4)		
Premium pupils, for			
the year 2016 - 2017			
Spending throughout	School dinners for free school meals Residential visit		
the year 2016 – 2017 also included	pupils • Counselling		
also included	Milk After school clubs		
	Breakfast club Reading books Guimming laggers		
	Toastie club Swimming lessons		
	After-school clubs Improving the classroom environments School visits		
	School visits		

Impact of spending	KS2 (x11 PP pupils)	KS1 (x4 pupils)
July 2017	FSM pupils' results were in line with 'All	FSM pupils did less well than 'All Pupils' at
	Pupils' for:	expected standard and greater depth (TA).
	• R/W/M Expected + (48.3% / 45.5%)	However, 75% of the FSM pupils were also
	• Reading Exp (55.2% / 54.5%)	SEN pupils, who had low attendance.
	• Reading 110+ (10.3% / 9.1%)	Phonics
	• Reading Ave Scaled Score (101 / 100.8)	Year 1 FSM pupils performed in line with
	• GPS 110+ (10.3% / 9.1%)	Kent FSM %
	• GPS Ave Scaled Score (103.9 / 103.5)	EYFS
	• Maths Exp (65.5% / 63.6%)	100% of FSM pupils achieved GLD,
	• Maths Ave Scaled Score (101.9 / 100.1)	Expected+ in Literacy Goals & Expected+
	However, progress for FSM pupils was less	Mathematics Goals. This was higher than 'All
	than 'All Pupils'	Pupils', LA & National.
	• Reading: -1.6 / -2.0	
	• Writing: -1.1 / -2.1	
	• Maths: 0.6 / -0.9	
	The progress scores were affected by	
	disapplication from the assessments of PP	
	children, who were also working at pre-KS2	
	level.	